SOLICITAR

TO:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

REPORT ON THE
FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN
ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR
TRADEMARK

U.S. PATENT & TRADEWARK UFFICE

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

filed in the U.S. Di	Istrict Court No. Dis	<u>of CA</u> on the following ★X Fatents or □ Trademarks:
DOCKET NO. C-98-3965-CAL	DATE FILED 10/15/98	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
PLAINTIFF	<u> </u>	DEFENDANT
Inclose Design, Inc., et al.		Hsiu Huie-CHeng, aka Henry Cheng, et al.
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 5,673,0	29	
2		"Pls. see attached copy of complaint"
3		
4		
5		
In the above-entitle	ed case, the following pat	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
In the above-entitle	ed case, the following dec	sion has been rendered or judgment issued:
DECISION/JUDGMENT		
CLERK	(E	DATE DATE
		1

David E. Newhouse, Esq. State Bar No. 54,217 FOR COURT USE ONLY Kevin H. Fortin, Esq. State Bar No. 166647 NEWHOUSE & ASSOCIATES Tel. No. (650) 348-8652 Twin Oaks Office Plaza Fax. No. (650) 348-8655 477 Ninth Avenue Ste 112 San Mateo, Ca. 94402-1854 Attorney For: Plaintiffs Inclose Design, Inc., Sunny Behl and Jack Freidman UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION Robert Peckham Federal Building 280 South 1st Street Room 2112 San Jose, CA 95113 Plaintiffs: INCLOSE DESIGN, INC., SUNNY BEHL and JACK FREIDMAN v. Defendants: HSIU HUIE-CHENG aka Henry Cheng; ORBITRON INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD.: ORBITRON TECHNOLOGY, CO. LTD.; DESTECH SOLUTIONS, INC. and JACKMOONUSA, INC. -03965 CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND/OR CONTRIBUTORY INFRINGEMENT. UNFAIR COMPETITION, AND INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS RELATIONSHIPS ASKING FOR RECOVERY OF BOTH DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (JURY TRIAL DEMANDED) Plaintiffs INCLOSE DESIGN, INC., SUNNY BEHL and JACK FREIDMAN collectively alledge: JURISDICTION AND VENUE This is the proper division of the Northern District of California for the United States District Court because the action in substantial part arises in Santa Clara County California. 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this Action under: 28 U.S.C §1338 because it arises under The Acts of Congress relating to Patents; and/or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1	28 U.S.C. § 1332, because the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of Fift
2	Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00) and is between citizens of a State and the Citizens and/o
3	subjects of a foreign state; and/or
4	28 U S.C. Section 1331 because it arises under the laws of the United States; and/or
5	Principles of supplemental jurisdiction;
6	2. Venue is proper in this judicial district under:
7	28 U.S.C. § 1391(c), because the Defendants and each of them have sufficient contacts to
8	be deemed to reside within this district; and/or
9	28 U.S.C. § 1391(d) because the Defendants and each of them, except Defendant
10	DESTECH SOLUTIONS, INC. and JACKMOONUSA, INC. are aliens; and/or
11	28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim
12	asserted herein occurred within Santa Clara County in the Northern District of California
13	and/or
14	28 U.S.C. § 1400 because each Defendant resides in the district [North American Philip
15	Corp. v. American Vending Sales, Inc. (C.A.Fed. (Ill) 1994) 35 F.3d 1576,1577(FN1), 3
16	USPQ 2d 1203], and/or has a regular place of business and committed acts o
17	infringement in the Northern District of California.
18	PARTIES
19	3. Plaintiffs SUNNY BEHL and JACK FREIDMAN are, and at all material times were
20	individuals residing in Santa Clara County, California. Plaintiff SUNNY BEHL is the owner, and th
21	president, of INCLOSE DESIGN, INC.
22	4. Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. is a California corporation having its principal place of
23	business at 1600 Dell C, Campbell, California 95008. Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. wa
24	incorporated in California on April 9, 1996 as <i>Orbitron Computer Systems, Inc.</i> On November 10, 1997

- 5. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege that Defendants ORBITRON INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD, and ORBITRON TECHNOLOGY, CO. LTD. are each limited liability type companies existing under the laws of the foreign State of Taiwan, R.O.C. with a principal place of business located at NO.5, Lane 121 Lung-Hsiao St., Kueishan Hsiang Taoyuan Hsien, Taiwan R.O.C. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege that each such Defendants are importing product into California and into this judicial district of the United States America with prior knowledge that such products infringe U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029 entitled Apparatus for Cooling a Memory Storage Device.
- 6. Defendant HSIU HUIE-CHENG aka Henry Cheng is an individual person who has misappropriated confidential information and data from the Plaintiffs with the specific intent to make use and sell product the world around which he knew comprised subject matter for which, Plaintiff's had filed an application for a United States Patent, on Feb.15, 1996, assigned Serial No. 602,011. On September 30, 1997 that application matured into U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029 entitled *Apparatus for Cooling a Memory Storage Device*. Notwithstanding after receiving notice: (i) that U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029, had issued and (ii) that Plaintiffs demanded importation of product covered by the patent into the United States of America, cease Defendant HSIU HUIE-CHENG, acting as the president and owner of Defendants ORBITRON INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD, and ORBITRON TECHNOLOGY, CO. LTD. intentionally continued to offer for sale to consumers and vendors in the United States of America and direct importation of product covered by the patent into the United States of America.
- 7. Defendant DESTECH SOLUTIONS, INC. (DesTech) is a California corporation with a principal place for the conduct of business located at 935 Lawson Street City of Industry California and

8. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege: (i) that Defendant JACKMOONUSA, INC. (JackMoonUSA) is a corporation existing by reason of and/or licensed to conduct business in California with a principal place for the conduct of business also located at 935 Lawson Street City of Industry California; and (ii) that Defendant JACKMOONUSA, INC. is the alter ego of Defendant DESTECH SOLUTIONS, INC. in that there is a unity interest and ownership between JackMoonUSA and DesTech. In particular, Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege there is no individuality or separateness between such entities other than that conferred by reason of different product lines each vends in the United States. Accordingly, Plaintiffs are informed and believe adherence to the fiction of the separate legal existence of the respective entities JackMoonUSA and DesTech would permit an abuse of the corporate privilege and promote injustice in that proceeds deriving from sales of product in the United State of America infringing United States Patents could be insulated from the liability for such infringement.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. is an innovative designer, manufacturer and vendor of cooling devices for personal and portable computers, PC cases, and external enclosures for hard disk drives, CD ROM players and recorders.
- 10. Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. is the owner of the entire right title and interest of United States Patent No. 5,673,029 entitled *Apparatus for Cooling a Memory Storage Device*.
- 11. United States Patent No. 5,673,029 entitled Apparatus for Cooling a Memory Storage Device. is valid and enforceable in all respects.
- 12. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege: Defendants HSIU HUIE-CHENG (aka Henry Cheng), ORBITRON INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD, and ORBITRON TECHNOLOGY, CO. LTD. and each of them are manufacturers offering to import from Taiwan R.O.C. to distributors in the

and nameble commuters DC cooks and external analysis of the district CD DOM 1
and portable computers, PC cases, and external enclosures for hard disk drives, CD ROM players a
recorders each of which comprise an infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029. In particlar, su
Defendants and each of them are now and were on 10/13/98 making the following written offer
distributors in the Northern District of California and elsewhere in the United States over the INTERN
from Taiwan:

"Orbitron International is currently establishing a standard pricing policy for all of our international distributors. This pricing policy will significantly increase distributor's profit margin by lower HD Cooler's cost todistributors. Should your company become one of our permanent distributor, your company will achieve new sales growth with our HD Cooler. It is Orbitron International's desire that as we grow; our distributors grow with us too.

In order to become one of our permanent international distributor, we will first ask prospective companies to provide their company profile. This background information will help us determine the company's capability and willingness to promote HD Cooler. If we are satisfied with your portfolio, you will become our permanent distributor.

As a permanent distributor you will enjoy our full financial and technical support. We will place your company information in our advertisements and brochures as the sole distributor of your assigned territory. We will also refer all customer information in your territory to your attention.

Lastly, we hope to have the opportunity to work with you and establish a long term business relationship. We truly believe HD Cooler has a bright and prosperous future. Please feel free to contact us if you have more questions."

- 13. Defendant DESTECH SOLUTIONS, INC. (DesTech) is offering for sale and, based upon information and belief has sold to consumers and retail distributors in the Northern District of California hard disk cooling fans designed for use with personal and portable computers, PC cases, and external enclosures for hard disk drives, CD ROM players and recorders each of which comprise an infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029
- 14. The Defendants and each of them are and have engaged in a nationwide campaign of unfair competition by manufacturing, selling, using, and distributing cooling fan devices designed for use with personal and portable computers, PC cases, and external enclosures for hard disk drives, CD ROM players and recorders in violation of U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029 and the rights therein granted.

15. Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. has placed the statutory notice specified by 35 U.S.C. § 287 either on all hard disk cooling fans or packaging therefore manufactured by it after issue of U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029 sold by it covered by the Patent. Further, Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. provided notice repeatedly to each of the Defendants who, notwithstanding such notice continued to infringe such patent in violation of 35 USC § 271.

16. With willful disregard for the rights of the Plaintiffs under the Patent, Defendants and each of them have continued to infringe or to contribute to infringement the Patent through the manufacture, importation, sale and use of the infringing hard disk cooling fans for use for use with personal and portable computers, PC cases, and external enclosures for hard disk drives, CD ROM players and recorders. Defendants and each of them knew, or had reason to know, and willfully intended that their activities of the manufacture, importation, and sale for use of such hard disk cooling fans comprise an infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029 under 35 USC § 271 et seq.

17. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege that Defendants and each of them have and are marketing the infringing hard disk cooling fans without disclosure to their customers, distributors or ultimate end users that the use of the infringing hard disk cooling fans to cool hard disks and like in cases is an infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029.

18. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis allege Defendants and each of them have and continue to falsely represent or imply to distributors, customers and to the public, and others acting for Defendants, that Defendant HSIU HUIE-CHENG (aka Henry Cheng), invented the infringing hard disk cooling fans. Defendant HSIU HUIE-CHENG (aka Henry Cheng) in fact did apply for and obtain a patent in Taiwan falsely representing, *inler alia*, that he invented such devices when in fact he misappropriated and stole such data and information from Plaintiffs. Defendant HSIU HUIE-CHENG (aka Henry Cheng) presented such he misappropriated and stole such data and information in his application to obtain the patent in Taiwan. Based upon the fraudulently obtained Taiwan patent, the Defendants and each of them have and continue to represent falsely that they have the right to sell the infringing hard disk cooling fans for use in the United States of America.

1 19. The above-described false representations, obfuscations and implications, were and are 2 intentional and willful, and were and are calculated to cause, have caused, and will cause irreparable injury 3 to Plaintiffs and each of them. 4 **COUNT ONE** 5 (Patent Infringement) 6 20. Paragraphs 1 through 19, inclusive, of this Complaint are repeated and with the same full force 7 and effect as if set forth in their entirety at this point herein. 8 21. Defendants and each of them have been, and still are, infringing, inducing infringement of, or 9 contributorily infringing U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029 by manufacturing, selling, and distributing infringing 10 hard disk cooling fans for use by consumers in the United States and the Northern District of California, 11 and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Such conduct is causing irreparable harm to 12 Plaintiffs. 13 22. As a direct result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN. INC. has been 14 damaged in amount to be proved at trial. 15 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray judgment against Defendants and each of them set forth below. **COUNT TWO** 16 17 (Violation of Secion 43(a) of the Lanham Act) 18 23. Paragraphs 1 through 19, inclusive, of this Complaint are repeated and with the same full force 19 and effect as if set forth in their entirety at this point herein. 20 24. The use of false, deceptive or misleading statements of fact and implications, as set forth 21 above, were made by Defendants and each of them in connection with commercial advertising and 22 promotion and constitute misrepresentations to the consuming public concerning the nature characteristic 23 and qualities of Plaintiff's and the respective infringing hard disk cooling fans imported and marketed by 24 Defendants' in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a). 25 25. The Defendants and each of them knew or had reason to know that said activities were likely to 26 constitute misrepresentations to the consuming public yet the Defendants willfully and intentionally made 27 and continue to make such misrepresentations.

26. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' misrepresentations, Plaintiff INCLOSE
DESIGN, INC. (InClose) is entitled to an injunction against Defendants and those acting on behalf of
Defendants, and each of them, (a) prohibiting them from making any and all false or misleading
statements or communications to third parties including distributors, trade journals, actual or potential
customers, and the consuming public, concerning InClose's products, the validity of the Patent, or
infringement of the Patents by the infringing hard disk cooling fans and (b) requiring disclosure that use of
infringing hard disk cooling fans may infringe U.S. Patent No. 5.673.029.

27. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. is entitled to recover from Defendants: (a) damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a); (b) damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a) in an amount not less than the total profits of Defendants; and (c) treble damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), and (d) costs and attorneys fees incurred by Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. in this action under 15 U.S C § 1117(a).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants as set forth below

COUNT THREE

(Common Law Unfair Competition)

- 28. Paragraphs 1 through 19, inclusive, of this Complaint are repeated and with the same full force and effect as if set forth in their entirety at this point herein..
- 29. The conduct of the Defendants and each of them infringing, inducing infringement and/or contributorily infringing U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029 constitutes unfair competition in violation of the rights of Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC.
- 30. The misrepresentations and obfuscations by or on behalf of Defendants, as set forth above, are likely to mislead and confuse the consuming public into believing that the infringing hard disk cooling fans manufactured and imported by Defendants HSIU HUIE-CHENG (aka Henry Cheng), ORBITRON INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD, and ORBITRON TECHNOLOGY, CO. LTD. are not covered by a valid United States Patent, and/or that use of such Defendants' products do not infringe the Patent, thereby directing business away from Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC.or otherwise unjustly benefiting

Defendants from the goodwill and reputation associated with Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC., the Patentsand licenses thereof, and products of Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC.

- 31. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, practices and conduct of unfair competition by Defendants and each of them, Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. has been substantially injured in its business resulting in lost revenues and profits and diminished good will and reputation.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, practices and conduct of unfair competition by the Defendants and each of them, Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. is entitled to enjoin Defendants from any and all future acts of unfair competition including infringement, inducing infringement, contributory infringement, false advertising and promotion, misrepresentations and obfuscutions.

WHEREFORE Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants as set forth below.

COUNT FOUR

(Tortious Interference With Potential Business Relations and Business Opportunities)

- 33. Paragraphs 1 through 19, inclusive, of this Complaint are repeated and with the same full force and effect as if set forth in their entirety at this point herein.
- 34. The systematic campaign of unfair competition by the Defendant and each of them constitutes intentional and tortious interference with the present and prospective business relations and business opportunities of Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. with respect to the cooling devices for personal and portable computers, PC cases, and external enclosures for hard disk drives, CD ROM players and recorders it manufactures and vends cover by U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029 causing irreparable injury and other damages to Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' intentional and tortious interference with its present and prospective business relations and business opportunities, Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. is entitled to enjoin Defendants: (i) from any and all further sales of infringing hard disk cooling fans; (ii) from making false or misleading statements or communications to customers and potential customers of Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC.; and (iii) from making false or misleading statements or communications to the consuming public and all other customers concerning, relating or referring in any

	1		
1	way to product	s, of Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. or infringing hard disk cooling fans offered by	
2	Defendants or any one of them, or to U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029.		
3	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. SUNNY BEHL and JACK FREIDMAN		
4 5	collectively pra	y for judgment against Defendants as set forth below, PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
6	AS TO	COUNT ONE:	
7	(a)	For an award of all profits derived by Defendants and each of them from any infringing	
8		sale; and	
9	(b)	Permanently enjoining Defendants from continued infringement and/or contributory	
10		infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029.	
11	AS TO	COUNT TWO:	
12	(c)	Permanently enjoining Defendants from making any false or misleading statements or	
13		communications to trade journals, customers and potential customer of either Defendants	
14		or Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC., the consuming public and any other customer	
15		concerning, relating or referring, in any way to products of INCLOSE DESIGN, INC. or	
16		about U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029.	
17	(d)	Compensatory damages under 15 U.S.C. §1117(a) according to proof~ Damages in the	
18		amount of the total profits of Defendants under 15 U.S.C § 1117(a) according to proof	
19		and	
20	(e)	Treble damges under 15 U.S.C. 1117(a).	
21	AS TO	COUNT THREE:	
22	(f)	Permanently enjoining Defendants from making sales of infringing hard disk cooling fans	
23		or making any false or misleading statements or communications to customers and	
24		potential customers of either Defendants or Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC., the	

1		consuming public and any other customer concerning, relating or referring in any way to
2		Plaintiff INCLOSE DESIGN, INC., or its products, or the infringing hard disk cooling
3		fans offered by the Defendants and each of them, or about U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029.
4	AS TO	COUNT FOUR:
5	(g)	Permanently enjoining Defendants and those acting on behalf of Defendants, and each of
6		them, from disparagement of the products, business or reputation of to Plaintiff
7		INCLOSE DESIGN, INC., representations that U.S. Patent No. 5,673,029 is invalid, false
8		advertising and promotion of the products of Defendants or as to the use of such products,
9		and other acts of unfair competition, and
10	AS TO	ALL COUNTS:
11	(h)	For punitive damages generally and as authorized under 35 USC § 284;
12	(i)	For damages in an amount to be proved at trial;
13	(j)	For costs incurred in this action
14	(k)	For reasonable attorney fees incurred herein; and
15	(m)	For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper and just under the
16		circumstances presented and proven at trial
17		JURY REQUEST:
18	WITH RESPE	CCT TO ALL APPLICABLE COUNTS, THE PLAINTIFFS' AND EACH OF THEM
19	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	REQUEST A JURY TRIAL ON ALL ISSUES TRIABLE BY JURY.
20		
21	Dated; October	14, 1998 NEWHOUSE & ASSOGIATES
22		
23		David E. Newhouse, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs InClose Design, Inc., Sunny Behl and

Jack Freidman